



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,047	07/16/2003	Mihir Y. Sambhus	03226.428001	2269
32615	7590	11/23/2005	EXAMINER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P./SUN 1221 MCKINNEY, SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			SMITH, PETER J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/622,047	Applicant(s) SAMBHUS ET AL.	
	Examiner Peter J. Smith	Art Unit 2176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/22/2004</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: application filed 7/16/2003, IDS filed 7/22/2004.
2. Claims 1-29 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 6, 14, and 22 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Leamon et al. (hereinafter “Leamon”), US 2002/0107891 A1 provisional filed 2/6/2001.

Regarding independent claim 1, Leamon discloses receiving content from a plurality of channels in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029]. Leamon discloses aggregating the content from the channels using an aggregator, the aggregator configured to process the content using a first markup language in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029]. Leamon discloses processing the aggregated content using a rendering engine, the rendering engine configured to output the aggregated content in a second markup language tailored for a client device and outputting the aggregated content in the second markup language to the client device in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029].

Art Unit: 2176

Leamon specifically discloses a portal which can aggregate information sources in fig. 2, 6, and paragraphs [0021] and [0028].

Regarding dependent claim 2, Leamon discloses wherein the first markup language is a standardized markup language such as abstract markup language in fig. 2 and paragraphs [0019]-[0021]. Leamon discloses that standard languages may be

Regarding dependent claim 3, Leamon discloses wherein the second markup language is a device specific markup language in accordance with the requirements of the client device in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029].

Regarding dependent claim 4, Leamon discloses wherein the content received from the plurality of channels includes standardized markup language based pages such as abstract markup language based pages in fig. 2 and paragraphs [0019]-[0021].

Regarding dependent claim 5, Leamon discloses wherein the content received from a plurality of channels includes content in the second markup language in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029]. Leamon discloses that the content providers can provide proprietary content and therefore proprietary content in the second markup language would not require translation to the second markup language.

Regarding independent claims 6, 14, and 22, Leamon discloses providing a first channel having content in a first markup language and providing a second channel having content in the first markup language in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029]. Leamon discloses aggregating the first channel content with the second channel content to form a first document in the first markup language in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029]. Leamon discloses post-processing the first document to form a second

Art Unit: 2176

document in a second markup language in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029]. Leamon specifically discloses a portal which can aggregate information sources in fig. 2, 6, and paragraphs [0021] and [0028].

Regarding dependent claims 7, 15, and 23, Leamon discloses wherein the first and second channels each include a rendering channel in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029].

Regarding dependent claims 8, 16, and 24, Leamon discloses wherein the first channel includes a rendering channel and the second channel includes a non-rendering channel having content in the second markup language in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029]. Leamon discloses that the content providers can provide proprietary content and therefore proprietary content in the second markup language would not require translation to the second markup language.

Regarding dependent claims 9, 17, and 25, Leamon discloses wherein the post-processing includes transforming a document from the first channel in a first markup language into a document returned to the first channel in the second markup language in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029].

Regarding dependent claims 10, 18, and 26, Leamon discloses wherein the first markup language includes a generic type of markup language in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029].

Regarding dependent claims 11, 19, and 27, Leamon discloses wherein the generic markup language is a standard markup language such as abstract markup language in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029].

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding dependent claims 12, 20, and 28, Leamon discloses wherein the second markup language includes a device-specific markup language in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029].

Regarding dependent claims 13, 21, and 29, Leamon discloses wherein the post-processing includes using a rendering engine in fig. 2, 4-7, and paragraphs [0019]-[0021] and [0025]-[0029].

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jiang et al., US 6,741,853 B1 filed 11/9/2000 discloses a device aware internet portal. Papanikolaou et al., US 2005/0188066 A1 filed 1/24/2002 discloses end user station access of a portal. Khan et al., US 6,438,575 B1 provisional filed 6/7/2000 discloses wireless enablement of the world wide web using a wireless gateway. Freire et al., "WebViews: Accessing Personalized Web Content and Services", Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on World Wide Web, published by ACM Press 2001, pages 576-586 discloses creating customized views of Web sites.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays 7:00am-3:30pm.

Art Unit: 2176

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R. Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PJS

11/15/2005

William L. Bashore
WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
11/20/2005